IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5619 of 1992

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

DEEPAK THAKKAR

Versus

VICE CHANCELLOR

Appearance:

MS MAHROOK KERRAVALA for Petitioner

MR SN SHELAT for Respondent No. 1, 3

NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

Date of decision: 06/10/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard the learned advocate Ms. Kerawala has appeared for the petitioner and the learned advocate Mr. Shelat has appeared for the respondents.

Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and also taking into consideration the prayers made by the petitioner in this petition, according to my opinion, this petition has become infructuous by passage of time. Therefore, this petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged. Interim relief granted earlier shall stand vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

6.10.2000 (H.K. Rathod,J.)

Vyas